Search for Neutrinoless Double Beta Decay: Recent Results and Future Prospects



Karsten M. Heeger Yale University

CIPANP, May 19, 2015



Neutrinos - The First 85 Years



Neutrinos Oscillate and Have Mass

Neutrino Oscillation experiments

- Neutrinos undergo flavor-changing oscillations
- Neutrinos have mass







Why is neutrino mass so small? How small is it? What is the mass generating mechanism?

Neutrino Mass and Hierarchy



We know

- mass splitting
- mixing angles
- minimum mass

$$\Delta m_{atm}^2 - m_v > 0.045 \text{ eV}$$

We don't know

- mass ordering
- absolute scale
- CP phases
- nature of neutrino mass

Early Days of Double Beta Decay

1930, Pauli



FIG. 5. Energy distribution curve of the beta-rays.



1932, Fermi





1935, Goeppert Mayer

1937, Majorana



Double Beta Decay

2νββ



Proposed in 1935 by Maria Goeppert-Mayer Observed in several nuclei

 $T_{1/2} \sim 10^{19} - 10^{21} \, yrs$

0νββ



Proposed in 1937 by Ettore Majorana Not observed yet $T_{1/2} \ge 10^{25}$ y

$$(N,Z) \to (N-2,Z+2) + e^- + e^-$$

 $\Delta L=2$: total lepton number violation (LNV)

nuclei are a laboratory to study lepton number violation at nuclear energies

Physics of Neutrinoless Double Beta Decay

B-L conserved in Standard Model

 $0\nu\beta\beta$ is the most powerful and comprehensive probe of Lepton Number Violation, sensitive to new physics over a vast range of scales, with far reaching implications

Observation of $0\nu\beta\beta$ would be direct evidence for new physics

Demonstrate that neutrinos are Majorana fermions Probe new mechanism of neutrino mass generation, reaching up to GUT scale $V_{U_{i}} = V_{i}$ Nucleus Z+2 Nuclear Process

Probe key **ingredient needed to generate cosmic baryon asymmetry** via leptogenesis. Sakharov conditions. Baryon number violation
 Out of thermal equilibrium
 CP violation

Proposed experiments have discovery potential in a variety of mechanisms

Double Beta Decay Mechanism



10-3

 10^{-10}

inverted

hierachy

 10^{-3}

 10^{-2}

parameters

(90% CL)

 10^{-3}

 10^{-2}

 $10^{-1}_{m_{\text{lightest}}}[eV]$

normal

 $m_{\text{lightest}}[eV]$

hierachy

 10^{-1}

Neutrinoless Double Beta Decay ($0\nu\beta\beta$)



Nuclear Process



$$\Gamma_{2\nu} = G_{2\nu} \mid M_{2\nu} \mid^2$$

G are phase space factors





0_V mode: hypothetical process only if $M_v \neq 0$ AND $v = \overline{v}$

$$\Gamma_{0\nu} = G_{0\nu} \mid M_{0\nu} \mid^2 \left\langle m_{\beta\beta} \right\rangle^2 \qquad G_{0\nu} \sim Q^5$$

Observable Half Life of \mathbf{0}\nu\beta\beta

$$(T_{1/2}^{0\nu})^{-1} = G^{0\nu}(Q,Z) |M^{0\nu}|^2 \frac{|\langle m_{\beta\beta} \rangle|^2}{m_e^2}$$
$$T_{1/2}^{0\nu} = 0\nu\beta\beta \text{ half-life}$$
$$G^{0\nu}(Q,Z) = \text{phase space factor } (\propto Q^5)$$
$$M^{0\nu} = \text{nuclear matrix element}$$
$$\langle m_{\beta\beta} \rangle = \text{effective } \beta\beta \text{ neutrino mass}$$
$$m_e = \text{electron mass}$$



Half lives are determined by

- phase space factor (high-Q value desirable)
- nuclear matrix elements

Nuclear matrix elements are calculated theoretically with different models

Effective neutrino mass can be inferred from half-live measurement

$$T_{1/2}^{0\nu}$$
 sensitivity $\propto a \cdot \epsilon \sqrt{\frac{M \cdot t}{b \cdot \delta E}}$

0vββ source with high isotopic abundance

Detector with high detection efficiency good energy resolution low-background

Experiment

long exposure time large total mass of isotope

- *a* = source isotopic abundance
- ϵ = detection efficiency
- M =total mass
 - t = exposure time
 - b = background rate at 0νββ energy
- δE = energy resolution

Search for 0vßß - Observable Signature



Nuclear Structure in Double Beta Decay

Nuclear structure connects experimental rates to parameters of interaction, requires mechanism dependent nuclear matrix elements.



range of $T_{1/2}$ depending on nuclear matrix element

Example: ¹³⁰Te

Q(¹³⁰Te)=2527 keV, good Q-value above Compton edge of 2615 keV line High natural abundance

Karsten Heeger, Yale University

Isotopes and Sensitivity to <m_{νββ}>



Isotopes have comparable sensitivities in terms of rate per unit mass

Ref: Robertson MPL A28, 2013, 1350021 arXiv:1301.1323

An experimental challenge of rare events

Most measured half lives of $2\nu\beta\beta$ are O(10²¹) years

- Compare to lifetime of Universe: 10¹⁰ years
- Compare to Avogadro's number 6 x 10²³
- Mole of isotope will produce ~ 1 decay/day

If it exists, half lives of $0\nu\beta\beta$ would be longer (¹³⁰Te limits is > 10²⁴ years)

Half life	Signal	
(years)	(cts/tonne-year)	
10 ²⁵	500	
5x10 ²⁶	10	
10 ²⁷	1	
10 ²⁸	0.1	

$$\begin{bmatrix} T_{1/2}^{0\nu} \end{bmatrix} \propto \varepsilon_{ff} \cdot I_{abundance} \cdot Source Mass \cdot Time$$
 background free
$$\begin{bmatrix} T_{1/2}^{0\nu} \end{bmatrix} \propto \varepsilon_{ff} \cdot I_{abundance} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{Source Mass \cdot Time}{Bkg \cdot \Delta E}}$$
 background limited

backgrounds do not always scale with detector mass

Sensitivity vs Background





0vββ Backgrounds and Mitigation

Potential Backgrounds

- Primordial, natural radioactivity in detector components: U, Th, K
- Backgrounds from **cosmogenic activation** while material is above ground

($\beta\beta$ -isotope or shield specific, ⁶⁰Co, ³H...)

- Backgrounds from the **surrounding environment**:

external γ , (α ,n), (n, α), Rn plate-out, etc.

- µ-induced backgrounds generated at depth:

Cu,Pb(n,n' γ), $\beta\beta$ -decay specific(n,n),(n, γ), direct μ

- 2 neutrino double beta decay (irreducible, E resolution dependent)

Reduce Backgrounds

- ultra-pure materials
- shielding

- ...

- deep underground

Discriminate Backgrounds

- energy resolution
- tracking (even topology)
- fiducial fits
- pulse shape discrimination (PSD)
- particle ID



Combining detection techniques for improved event identification and background rejection

$\mathbf{0} \mathbf{v} \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\beta}$ Efforts Worldwide

¹³⁰Te

- Bolometer-based searches
- $T_{1/2} > 2.8 \times 10^{24} \text{ y}$
- Cuoricino / CUORE-0 / CUORE



⁷⁶Ge

- High-purity germanium detectors
- $T_{1/2}$ > 2.1 × 10²⁵ y • GERDA/ MAJORANA



¹³⁶Xe

- Liquid Xe scintillation / TPC
- $T_{1/2}$ > 2.6 × 10²⁵ y
- Kamland-Zen, EXO-200, nEXO



NEMO-3/ SuperNEMO

 Source foils with tracking and calorimetry
 Half-lives on ⁴⁸Ca,

⁸²Se, ⁹⁶Zr, ...

Pushing experimental techniques to an extreme:

coldest space in Universe, cleanest radiation detector, deepest laboratory, cleanest tracking chamber, etc...

$\textbf{0}\nu\beta\beta$ Efforts Worldwide

Experiment	Isotope	Isotopic Mass	Start of Operations
CUORE0 CUORE	130	~11 Kg ~210 Kg	2013 (Running) 2015
EXO-200	136	~200 Kg	2011
GERDA I/II	76	~34 Kg	2011/15
KamLAND-Zen	136	~300 Kg	2012 (Running)
MAJORANA	76	~30 Kg	2015
NEXT	136	~100 Kg	2016
SNO+	130	~800 Kg	2016 ?
SuperNEMO	82	~7 Kg	2016

selection of most prominent efforts

Recent Results - Gerda Phase 1 (76Ge)



- 87% enriched ⁷⁶Ge detectors in LAr
- $Q_{\beta\beta} = 2039 \text{ keV}$
- 14.6 kg of 86% enriched Ge detectors from H-
- M, IGEX (4.8 keV FWHM @ $Q_{\beta\beta}$
- 3 kg of 87% enriched BEGe enriched detectors (3.2 keV FWHM @ $Q_{\beta\beta}$)
- Single-site, multi-site pulse shape discrimination



- 21.6 kg-year exposure
- Frequentist T_{1/2} > 2.1 x 10²⁵ y (90% CL)
- Bayesian
 T_{1/2} > 1.9 x 10²⁵ y (90% CL)
- GERDA Collaboration, PRL 111 (2013) 122503 Eur. Phys. J. C (2014) 74:2764

→G. Benato



GERD

Recent Progress - Majorana Dem. (76Ge)





 MJD Prototype module installed and taking data in shield since July 2014. Simulations and analysis of data are underway.



Ionization

One detector spectrum within a string mounted in the prototype cryostat and inside shield. FWHM 3.2 keV at 2.6 MeV



- Module 1 with more than half of all enriched detectors will go in-shield in a few days and start operation soon.
- Assembly of strings for Module 2 is underway. Anticipate completion by end of 2015.
- Expecting data from the completed Demonstrator in 2016.

Recent Results - EXO-200 (136Xe)

Ionization Scintillation

- Enriched Liquid Xe in TPC
 - Q_{ββ}=2457.8 keV
 - 200 kg of 80.6 % enriched¹³⁶Xe
 - 75.6 kg fiducial mass,
 - 100 kg years exposure
 - Combine Scintillation-Ionization signal for improved resolution (88 keV FWHM @ Q_{ββ})
 - Single site Multisite discrimination
 T 1/2 > 1.1 x 10²⁵ y (90% CL)





→M. Tarka

Karsten Heeger, Yale University

Recent Results - KamLAND-Zen

- ^{enr}Xe in liquid scintillator, balloon of R=1.5 m
- Q_{ββ}=2457.8 keV
- Phase 1
 - 179 kg (2.44% by Xe wt.) 91.7% enriched¹³⁶Xe
 - R=1.35 m fiducial cut
 - 213.4 days, with 89.5 kg years exposure
 - 400 keV FWHM @ $Q_{\beta\beta}$
 - evidence for ^{110m}Ag contamination
 T_{1/2} > 1.9 x 10²⁵ y (90% CL)
- Phase 2
 - 383 kg (2.96% by Xe wt.)
 - R=1 m fiducial cut
 - 114.8 days, with 27.6 kg years exposure
 - ^{110m}Ag contamination reduced by x10
 T_{1/2} > 1.3 x 10²⁵ y (90% CL)

Combined (1&2) T 1/2 > 2.6 x 10²⁵ y (90% CL)

KamLAND ZEN Collaboration, Shimizu, Neutrino 2014

CIPANP, May 19, 2015





 \rightarrow B. Berger

Scintillation

Recent Results - CUORE-0 (130Te)

Phonons







- Q_{ββ}=2527.5 keV
- Array of 52 5x5x5 cm³ TeO₂ crystals
- 9.8 kg years exposure
- FWHM of 5.1 keV

 $T_{1/2} > 2.7 \text{ x } 10^{24} \text{ y } (90\% \text{ CL}) \text{ CUORE-0}$

T_{1/2} > 4.0 x 10²⁴ y (90% CL) CUORE-0 & Cuoricino arXiv: 1504.2454

→T. O'Donnell

Recent Progress - CUORE (130Te)

All 988 bolometers (206 kg of ¹³⁰Te) built and assembled into towers





Cryostat Commissioning Underway



Detector installation expected in 2015

Karsten Heeger, Yale University

No 0vββ Signal Yet!

Limits on Effective Neutrino Mass



CUORE-0 Results

 $\langle m_{\beta\beta} \rangle < 270 - 650 \text{ meV}$

1) IBM-2 (PRC 91, 034304 (2015))
 2) QRPA (PRC 87, 045501 (2013))
 3) pnQRPA (PRC 024613 (2015)
 4) ISM (NPA 818, 139 (2009))
 5) EDF (PRL 105, 252503 (2010))

Including additional Shell-Model NME

 $\langle \mathbf{m}_{\beta\beta} \rangle < 270 - 760 \text{ meV}$

IBM-2 (PRC 91, 034304 (2015))
 QRPA (PRC 87, 045501 (2013))
 pnQRPA (PRC 024613 (2015))
 Shell Model (PRC 91, 024309 (2015))
 ISM (NPA 818, 139 (2009))
 EDF (PRL 105, 252503 (2010))

Karsten Heeger, Yale University

→J. Engel

Towards a Next-Generation Experiment

Goals/Requirements

- Expect signals of 1 count/tonne-year for half-lives of 10²⁷ years, or $< m_{\beta\beta} > \sim 15$ meV.
- For discovery aim for S:B of better than 1:1 in region of interest
- Region of interest can be single dimension (e.g. energy) or multi-dimensional (e.g. energy+fiducial)

Next Steps

International collaborations are building on current efforts using multiple isotopes:

- ⁷⁶Ge: large Ge experiment, HPGE crystals, ton-scale
- ⁸²Se: SuperNEMO, tracking and calorimeter, 100kg scale
- ¹³⁶Xe:
 - nEXO, liquid TPC, 5 tonnes
 - NEXT/BEXT, high pressure gas TPC, tonne-scale
 - KamLAND-Zen, scintillator
- ¹³⁰Te:
 - CUPID, bolometers+scintillation/Cherenkov light
 - SNO+ phase II, scintillator
- other efforts worldwide
- staged approach possible, some experiments pursue isotopic enrichment

Next Steps - SuperNEMO (82Se)

•Thin foil with tracking and calorimeter, based on successful NEMO3 detector.

- <u>Planar</u> and <u>modular</u> design: ~ 100 kg of enriched isotopes (20 modules × ~5-7 kg)
- •Starting with single Demonstrator module, (7 kg of ⁸²Se) to show scalability
- •T_{0v1/2} > 6.5 x10²⁴ y $\rightarrow \langle mv \rangle < 0.20$ 0.40 eV @ (90 % C.L.)

•SuperNEMO

- \bullet 100 kg of ^{82}Se running for 5 years
- $T_{0\nu1/2}$ > 1 x 10^{26} y (90 % C.L.) $\langle m\nu\rangle$ < 40-100 meV
- $T_{0v1/2} = 2 \times 10^{25} \text{ y} (5\sigma)$

Demonstrator (1 module):

Source (40 mg/cm²) 4 x 3 m²

Tracking : drift chamber ~2000 cells in Geiger mode

- **Calorimeter: scintillators + PMTs**
 - ~550 PMTs+scint. blocks
- Passive water shield

20 Modules 100 kg



I DD Possilution Monting

Next Steps - Ge Experiment (76Ge)

- MAJORANA and GERDA are working towards the establishment of a single international ⁷⁶Ge $0\nu\beta\beta$ collaboration. (Name not set: Ge1T, LSGe, ...)
- Envision a phased, stepwise implementation;

e.g. $250 \rightarrow 500 \rightarrow 1000 \text{ kg}$ 5 yr 90% CL sensitivity: $T_{1/2} > 3.2 \cdot 10^{27} \text{ yr}$ 10 yr 3 σ discovery: $T_{1/2} \sim 3 \cdot 10^{27} \text{ yr}$

- Moving forward predicated on *demonstration* of projected backgrounds by MJD and/or GERDA
- Anticipate down-select of best technologies, based on results of the two experiments



Next Steps - CUPID

Phonons Light

phonon+photon





- Cherenkov light or scintillation to distinguish α from β/γ (¹³⁰TeO₂, Zn⁸²Se, ¹¹⁶CdWO₄, and Zn¹⁰⁰MoO₄)
- More rejection power needed: 99.9% α background suppression. Light detector R&D for better resolution.
- Background free search.

 $m_{\beta\beta} \sim (M \cdot t)^{-1/2}$, not $(M \cdot t)^{-1/4}$





Next Steps - CUPID

Phonons Light

- Next-generation bolometric tonne-scale experiment. Based on the CUORE design, CUORE cryogenics
 - Largest cryostat and DU built; mature technology
- 988 enriched (90%) crystals, PID with light detection
 - TeO₂ : phonons + Cherenkov detector
 - Options: ZnSe, ZnMoO₄, CdWO₄ (phonons +scintillation)
- Aim for zero-background measurement
- Sensitivity to inverted hierarchy region
 - CUORE geometry and background model
 - 99.9% a rejection @ >90% signal efficiency (5 σ separation of a and β)
 - 5 keV FWHM resolution
 - Aim for nearly zero background measurement: background goal <0.02 events / (ton-year)
 - Half-life sensitivity (2-5)×10²⁷ years in 10 years (3 σ)
 - $-m_{\beta\beta}$ sensitivity 6-20 meV (3 σ)



R. Artusa et al., Eur.Phys.J. **C74**, 3096 (2014) White papers: arXiv:1504.03599, arXiv:1504.03612

Next Steps - SNO+ (130Te)

- 3% loading of Te already demonstrated
- Detector response model from Phase I predicts Phase II response

Plug-in replacement of SNO+ PMTs with R5912-HQEs more than doubles light yield for Phase II Additional wavelength-shifter R&D could further improve this

Containment bag R&D necessary to achieve cleanliness
 Can leverage KamLAND-Zen and BOREXINO knowledge

Phase II: $T_{1/2} > 7 \times 10^{26}$ y (90% CL, natural) $T_{1/2} > 10^{27}$ y (90% CL, enriched) $T_{1/2} > 4 \times 10^{26}$ y (3 σ , natural)

External γ and ⁸B backgrounds are fixed (but fewer in ROI because of increased light yield)





→N. Barros

Scintillation

- 5 tonnes of ^{enr}Xe
- nEXO 5 yr 90% CL sensitivity: $T_{1/2} > 6.6 \cdot 10^{27}$ yr
- LXe homogeneous imaging TPC similar to EXO-200:
 - baseline: install at SNOLAB (cosmogenic background reduced wrt EXO-200)
 - simultaneous measurement: energy, spatial extent, location, particle ID
 - Multi-parameter approach improves sensitivity: strengthens proof in case of discovery
 - -inverted hierarchy covered with a well proven detector concept
 - -possible later upgrade for Ba retrieval/tagging: start accessing normal hierarchy





Next Steps - KamLAND Zen (130Xe)

Scintillation



Next Steps - BEXT (136Xe)

Ionization Scintillation





NEW - 10 kg prototype at the LSC



- HP¹³⁶Xe TPC + EL for high E- resolution + tracking capability
- Tonne-scale sensitivity: $m_{\beta\beta} < 15 \text{ meV}$ in 10 t-y



Next Frontier - Future Searches for 0vββ

Ton scale experiments will make discovery if

- m_{lightest} > 50 meV (irrespective of ordering)

- spectrum has inverted ordering



Karsten Heeger, Yale University

CIPANP, May 19, 2015

37

significant discovery potential

improvement of x100 over

current results

Summary

Neutrinoless double beta $(0\nu\beta\beta)$ is the most powerful and comprehensive probe of lepton number violation ($\Delta L=2$).

Observation would establish lepton number violation, demonstrate that neutrinos are Majorana, and indicate physics beyond Standard Model.

Current experiments probe half lives of 10²⁵-10²⁶ years and are demonstrating background reduction and scalability of experimental techniques. Expect new results in next 2-3 years.

Tonne-scale experiments have significant discovery potential, reaching half lives of 10²⁷-10²⁸ years.

Ready for a world-wide program of $0\nu\beta\beta$ searches with different techniques and isotopes, underground locations are available.

We are poised to look for $0\nu\beta\beta$ down to $\langle m_{bb} \rangle \sim 15$ meV, covering the inverted hierarchy.

Exciting years ahead!

Many thanks to all colleagues who contributed with slides to this talk





